



ENCINO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

(A CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL)

MAIL: P.O. BOX 260439

ENCINO, CA 91426-0439

WWW.ENCINOCOUNCIL.ORG

ENC@SOCAL.RR.COM

818-817-6998

LOUIS KROKOVER
PRESIDENT

LAURIE KELSON
VICE-PRESIDENT

MICHAEL KAUFMAN
TREASURER

SHELLEY RIVLIN
SECRETARY

GERALD A. SILVER
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS

AGENDA EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & GENERAL MEETING TUESDAY, April 17, 2012 – 1:00 PM ENCINO WOMENS CLUB 4924 Paso Robles Avenue, Encino, CA.

MOTION, DISCUSSION AND VOTE MAY BE TAKEN ON ALL ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, and Determination of a Quorum
2. Approval of the Executive Minutes - February 14, 2012 (amend if necessary)
3. President's Report: (Mr. Krokover)
4. Report from Vice-President on Committees (Ms. Kelson)
5. Report from Treasurer (Mr. Kaufman)
6. Proposed Guest Speaker(s) -
 - a) Bronwen Trice -- Senior Community Relations Officer
Metro Regional Communications.
 - b) Erik Johnson
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

I am assisting Desiree Portillo Rabinov, Project Manager for the Metro and City of Los Angeles Orange Line BRT Sustainable Corridor Implementation Plan (CIP). This project aims to improve Orange Line stations and the surrounding areas by looking at each station to determine the best strategies to improve existing land use conditions, physical enhancements, and transit connectivity to create a coordinated approach to transit oriented districts. We would like to give a 15minute presentation on the final draft station area recommendations at the upcoming Encino Neighborhood Council Board Meeting regarding the Woodley and Balboa stations. The Encino Neighborhood Council website indicates that the next Board Meeting will take place on April 25. If this is the case, would it be possible for Metro to be placed on the agenda for this meeting?

Your input will assist us in ensuring we have captured specific transportation and land use solutions for the two station areas. Please confirm with me if we may be allotted time on the next Encino Neighborhood Council Board Meeting agenda, at (213) 922-7913 or by email, as well as any questions or to discuss this further.

7. Discussion on the April 25, 2012 General Council Agenda Board Business-
Possible Committee Reports (To be presented)

1. By Laws
2. Education
3. Finance
4. Outreach
5. Planning and Land Use

6. Public Safety

7. Parks

8. Traffic & Transportation

Motions for review and presentation at the next general meeting

a) Glenn Bailey requested the following:

I (Glenn Bailey) previously requested that the "Proposed White Oak Avenue Bicycle Lanes (Ventura Boulevard to Oxnard Street) as designated on the City's 2010 Bicycle Plan" be an agenda item for the ENC to support.

MOTION: BAILEY 2ND JARVIS

MOTION: THAT THE ENC SUPPORTS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LA CITY'S 2010 BICYCLE PLAN WITH THE INSTALLATION OF BIKE LANES ON WHITE OAK AVE BETWEEN VENTURA BLVD AND OXNARD ST ON BOTH SIDES OF WHITE OAK AVE. VOTE 51N FAVOR, 3 OPPOSED

b) Laurie Kelson requested the following item:

On the SCAG list of money for projects is LA996425 on page 42.

Dedicate \$8,301 to install a reversible lane on Sepulveda Blvd through the tunnel under Mulholland Dr. The reversible lane is not necessary. Supposedly, the intersection at Skirball Center Drive and Sepulveda Blvd will be majorly improved by moving the S 405 ramps 200 feet to the South.

MOTION: KELSON 2ND JARVIS

MOTION: THAT THE ENC OPPOSES THE INSTALLATION OF A REVERSIBLE LANE ON SEPULVEDA BLVD THROUGH THE TUNNEL UNDER MULHOLLAND DR. (SCAG PROJECT LA996425 IN PAGE 42). VOTE 7 to 1

9. VNY Airport

10. 12th Council District Service Committee Report

A. NC Election's & NC Funding - Status update regarding 2012

Dear Encino Neighborhood Council officers,

From –

Tom Soong

Department of Neighborhood Empowerment

Please review the link for all funding deadlines and process for encumbering election expenses.

<http://done.lacity.org/dnn/portals/O/documents/funding/FundProgFY201112YearEndClosing.pdf>

Please note these two important dates:

May 16, 2012 Last day to submit Request for Demand Warrant forms to be paid with current fiscal year funds. (Must have completed paperwork.)

May 16, 2012 Last day to submit list of possible election outreach vendors, board approval, and total amount allocated for election outreach.

June 15, 2012 Last day to make board approved expenditures with purchasing card, by 5:00pm. Purchasing cards deactivated at 5:00pm.

Please also share the document with your board.

B. Comments and information regarding 17720 Magnolia Blvd

In #380 Encino Community Update:

#380 ENCINO COMMUNITY UPDATE

ENCINO NC SUPPORTS HUGE 50 UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AT 17720 MAGNOLIA BLVD.
CASE NO. APCSV-2012-551-IC-SPR and ENV-2012-550-EAF

Over the strong objections of Homeowners of Encino (HOME) and residents who attended the March 28, 2012 Encino NC (ENC) General Meeting, the ENC supported rezoning a parcel of property occupied by Temple Ner Maarav to construct a 50 unit apartment complex. HOME objected to the traffic, noise, congestion and pollution that a 40,000 sq. ft., 4-story (45 ft. high), 50 unit apartment building with parking for 100 cars would bring to Encino. HOME asked that the ENC not approve any high density apartment zone change for this project. The ENC Board disregarded the pleas of opponents and instead supported the massive apartment project on a vote of 13 for rezoning, and 6 opposed. Because of the lack of time, a number of residents were not allowed to speak in opposition at the contentious ENC meeting.

Homeowners of Encino (HOME) opposes the R3-1 zoning for the apartment project because of its massive size. They argued that the project was too large for the lot size, incompatible with the neighborhood, and could not be sustained because the city lacked adequate infrastructure and could not provide water, solid waste, sewage, and street/traffic capacity. Instead of the R3-1 zoning that was being requested. HOME proposed much lower density zoning - RD2-1 for the project. This would allow only 20 apartments, or condos with parking for 40 cars. RD2-1 zoning is compatible with the condominiums across the street on White Oak.

The matter now awaits a hearing before a hearing officer from the LA Planning Dept.

UPDATE ON 17720 MAGNOLIA PROJECT

KICK OFF PETITION DRIVE TO OPPOSE THE 50 UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX Homeowners of Encino (HOME) is holding meetings with local neighbors who oppose this massive rezoning to allow for a 50 unit apartment complex. On April 9, 2012, HOME meet with a group of neighbors to discuss the project, and begin circulating petitions in opposition. There is clear unhappiness with the Encino NC because they voted to approve this project on March 28, 2012. There is a consensus that the ENC made a wrong decision in endorsing the project. Most residents believe that it will bring more traffic and congestion to the area near Magnolia and White Oak. There was unanimous agreement that the developer should only build a maximum of 20 cadmiums, not 50 apartments, by rezoning to RD2, not R3!

3. JOIN HOMEOWNERS OF ENCINO, AND WORK WITH US TO STOP THIS REZONING! (See Application below.)

SUBJECT: COMMENT ON 17720 MAGNOLIA PROJECT AND THE ENCINO NC

Sunday, April 01, 2012 2:32 PM

The Encino NC showed its pro developer ways when it voted to approve the project on Magnolia Blvd.

The Encino NC turned a deaf ear to neighbors/stakeholders and it's own council members who have concerns about density and parking. The neighbors opposed to the Magnolia project did not feel they got a fair hearing, as [the] President of the ENC Louis Krokover tried to shut off debate prematurely. Greg Martayan, the religious rep, opined that this project "will bring the kinda people we want in Encino".

There is no reason to build such a high density R-3 [project] except to maximize developer profits and make the neighbors suffer for it. Was the ENC in their overwhelming approval, giving one of their own members a free pass on the project? We have reached the point of development here in Encino that developers should no longer get a free pass. Shouldn't they give back to the Community. Is the building going to be an additional load on essential services of water, electricity and sewage?
Encino Resident

Encino Patch - March 29, 2012]

Encino Neighborhood Council Meeting Roundup

Here's what was discussed by the Neighborhood Council and assembled local residents Wednesday evening.
By Anna King

Proposed real estate development on Magnolia

Brad Rosenheim of Rosenheim and Associates talked about the proposed development of 17720 Magnolia (at the SW corner of Magnolia and White Oak). The owners of the property, said Rosenheim, are seeking to rezone the area back to its original use, going from RA zoning (single home or farm) to R3 (multi-residential).

Rosenheim said that the owners would like to develop a 50-unit apartment complex of two-bedroom units, some with dens, being marketed for "folks interested in scaling back, maybe *moving* down from the hills and living in Encino in a smaller home."

He said that traffic would be reduced in the area as traffic from Temple Ner Maarav and its pre-school was much greater than that which 50 apartments would be expected to produce.

An ENC board member asked what assurance his company could *give* that the finished product will look like the proposed plans. Rosenheim said that not only is his company giving "Our word," but, he said, "when our project gets approved by the City, we are supposed to build it in conformance with these plans."

Audience members expressed their concern about the parking provided by the building. Rosenheim said that the apartment complex will *have* ample parking: 100 parking spaces shared between the 50 units.

In the public comment section, Paul Kelson spoke in support of the project, saying that there would actually be less cars seeking parking spots than is the case currently, given that the site is currently occupied by Temple Ner Maarav.

Gerald Silver argued against the motion to support the zone change, asking: "why do we need apartments, why not owner occupied condominiums?" He suggested 20 condominiums rather than 50 apartments.

The ENC voted in support of the proposed development designs and rezoning request.
Copyright 2012 Encino Patch

- C. Monthly Food Expense Allowance
- D. Other items for Council consideration (General)

8. Public Comments on non-agenda items within the Board's jurisdiction
9. Board Member Comments on subject matters within the Board's jurisdiction
10. Next Executive Committee meeting: Tuesday, May 15, 2012

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assisted listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting by contacting Tom Soong, at (323) 359-2579, toll-free at (866) LA HELPS, or e-mail tsoong@mailbox.lacity.org

The Encino Neighborhood Council (ENC) is a certified Neighborhood Council of the City of Los Angeles which advises City and other Governmental Officials on issues or concerns that are affecting the community of Encino.

The ENC is made up of elected persons who live, work or otherwise are involved in the community of Encino.

The ENC also makes appropriations of City Funds for Community Projects and needs.

The ENC GENERAL meetings are usually on the 4th Wednesday of each month at the:
Encino Community Center, 4935 Balboa Blvd at 7:00pm.

For further information – Please go to: www.encinocouncil.org

PUBLIC INPUT AT ENC MEETINGS - An opportunity for the public to address the Council or Speaker on agenda items will be provided before or during consideration of the item. Members of the public who wish to be recognized on any item are requested to complete a question card for each item they wish to address, and present the completed card(s) to the Sergeant-At-Arms. Speaker cards are available at the back of the meeting room.

The Council will also provide an opportunity for the public to speak on any [**Non-Agenda Items***] during "**Public Comment**". **The Council may not take any action or discuss matters addressed in "Public Comments"**. However, the Council President may refer such matters to the appropriate Council Committees for further consideration.

*** Public comments are limited to 2 minutes per speaker.**

*** ENC COUNCIL DISCUSSION AND TIME LIMITS** – ENC Councilmember's requesting to speak will be recognized by the President in the order requested. **For any item, the Chairperson of the Committee, or the maker of the original motion shall have up to five (3) minutes to discuss the item. Councilmember's may speak up to TWO (2) minutes each on the matter unless extended by the President or Council.** After all members desiring to speak on a question have had an opportunity to be heard once, **the time for each Member desiring to speak again shall be limited to a maximum of ONE (1) minute unless extended by the President or Council.** A motion calling the "**Previous Question**" may be introduced by any member during a Council debate.

If adopted, this motion will terminate debate on a matter and the matter will then be submitted for a vote.

VOTING AND DISPOSITION OF ITEMS - Most motions require a majority vote of the Councilmember's present and voting will be by hand vote unless otherwise decided by the Council.

Unless requested for further consideration by an ENC Councilmember, any item which has been forwarded to the Council by a unanimous committee vote shall be approved as a "Consent Item" without further discussion.

Posting of your personal information to the ENC or any public organization can be released upon request under the Public Records Act. You are not required to post your information unless you choose to.