REPORT OF THE

CHIEF LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

DATE: February 4, 2021

TO: Honorable Members of the Rules, Elections, and Intergovernmental Relations

Committee

FROM: Sharon M. Tso Keotahian - rg For Council File No: 21-0002-S18

Chief Legislative Analyst Assignment No: 21-01-0044

SUBJECT: Resolution to OPPOSE SB 9 (Atkins)

<u>CLA RECOMMENDATION</u>: Adopt Resolution (Koretz – Krekorian) to include in the City's 2021-2022 State Legislative Program, OPPOSITION to SB 9 (Atkins), which would require cities and counties, including charter cities, to provide for the ministerial approval of a housing development containing two residential units, and a parcel map dividing a lot into two equal parts, for residential use; and exempts environmental review; and would approve these projects without discretionary review, or a public hearing; thereby undermining local land use control and the concept of 'Home Rule' by the imposition of State legislation on local government agencies, including charter cities.

SUMMARY

The Resolution, introduced December 15, 2020, advises that pending before the State legislature is SB 9 (Atkins) introduced on December 7, 2020, which if enacted into law would require cities and counties, including charter cities, to provide for the ministerial ('by right') approval of a housing development containing two residential units (a duplex), and a parcel map dividing a lot into two equal parts ('lot split'), for residential uses. The Resolution advises that SB 9 would exempt projects from environmental review as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which undermines community participation and vetting by local legislative bodies. The Resolution further advises that SB 9 stipulates that a city or county cannot require a duplex project to comply with any standard that would prevent two units from being built, and would prohibit local agencies from imposing regulations that require dedications of rights-of-way or the construction of offsite and onsite improvements for parcels created through a lot split. Lastly, the Resolution advises that SB 9 would undermine the Subdivision Map Act by setting forth procedures governing local agencies' processing, approval, conditional approval or disapproval, and filing of tentative, final (five or more parcels), and parcel maps (four or less parcels), and the modification of those maps.

BACKGROUND

SB 9 (Atkins), if enacted into law, would require a proposed duplex project within a single-family residential zone to be considered for ministerial approval, without discretionary review or public hearing, so long as the proposed project meets certain requirements. Such requirements include, but are not limited to, that the proposed housing development would not require demolition or alteration of housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income; that the

development does not allow for the demolition for more than 25% of the existing exterior structural wall, except as provided; and that the development is not located within a historic district, is not included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, or is not within a site that is legally designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district.

In addition, SB 9 (Atkins) would set forth what a local agency can and cannot require in approving the construction of a duplex. Such regulations notably include authorizing a city or county to impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design standards, as defined, unless those standards would have the effect of physically precluding the construction of up to 2 units; prohibiting the imposition of setback requirements under certain circumstances; and setting maximum setback requirements under all other circumstances.

Furthermore, SB 9 (Atkins) amends the Subdivision Map Act to state that an approved or conditionally approved tentative map shall expire 24 months after its approval or conditional approval, or after any additional period of time as may be prescribed by local ordinance, not to exceed an 24 months, rather than 12 months (an increase from 12 months).

Lastly, as the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a local agency to prepare and certify the completion of an environmental impact report on a proposed project that may have a significant impact on the environment, it is worth noting that CEQA does not apply to the approval of ministerial projects, thereby exempting the approval of projects from the CEQA process. Additionally, SB 9 (Atkins) would exempt local governments from being required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for housing developments and urban lot splits pursuant to the bill's provisions.

DEPARTMENTS NOTIFIED

Office of the City Attorney Department of City Planning

BILL STATUS

12/07/20 SB 9 Introduced

01/28/21 Referral to Com. on Housing and Com. on Gov. & F.

Christopher Fields

Analyst

Attachment: 1. Resolution (Koretz – Krekorian)

2. SB 9

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, any official position of the City of Los Angeles with respect to legislation, rules, regulations or policies proposed to or pending before a local, state or federal governmental body or agency must first have been adopted in the form of a Resolution by the City Council with the concurrence of the Mayor; and

WHEREAS, pending before the State legislature is SB 9 (Atkins) introduced on December 7, 2020, which if enacted into law would require cities and counties, including charter cities, to provide for the ministerial ('by right') approval of a housing development containing two residential units (a duplex), and a parcel map dividing a lot in to two equal parts ('lot split'), for residential use; and

WHEREAS, enactment into law of SB 9 would eliminate public hearings by the Planning Department and public notice, inasmuch as the proposed projects would only require administrative review, and proposes to provide ministerial approval of a parcel map (four or less parcels) for a lot split, and thereby amend sections of the Subdivision Map Act by extending from 12 to 24 months the additional time period of an approved or conditionally approved Tentative Map; and

WHEREAS, SB 9 would exempt these projects from environmental review as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) by establishing a ministerial review process, without discretionary review or a public hearing, thereby undermining community participation and vetting by local legislative bodies; and

WHEREAS, SB 9 further stipulates that a city or county cannot require a duplex project to comply with any standard that would prevent two units from being built, and would prohibit a local agency from imposing regulations that require dedications of rights-of way or the construction of offsite and onsite improvements for parcels created through a lot split; and

WHEREAS, enactment into law of SB 9 would undermine the Subdivision Map Act, which vests the authority to regulate and control the design and improvement of subdivisions by the legislative body of a local agency and sets forth procedures governing the local agency's processing, approval, conditional approval or disapproval, and filing of tentative, final (five or more parcels), and parcel maps (four or less parcels), and the modification of those maps;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, with the concurrence of the Mayor, that by adoption of this Resolution, the City of Los Angeles hereby includes in its 2021-2022 State Legislative Program OPPOSITION to SB 9 (Atkins), which if enacted into law would require cities and countles, including charter cities, to provide for the ministerial ('by right) approval of a housing development containing two residential units (a duplex), and a parcel map dividing a lot into two equal parts ('lot split'), for residential use; and exempts environmental review; and would approve these projects without discretionary review, or a public hearing; thereby undermining local land use control and the concept of 'Home Rule' by the imposition of State legislation on local government agencies, including charter cities.

PRESENTED BY:

PAUL KORETZ, Councilmember, Fifth District

SECONDED BY:_____

PAUL KREKORIAN (verbal)
Councilmember, 2nd District

DEC 1 5 2020

SENATE BILL No. 9

Introduced by Senators Atkins, Caballero, Rubio, and Wiener (Coauthors: Senators Gonzalez and McGuire)

(Coauthor: Assembly Member Robert Rivas)

December 7, 2020

An act to amend Section 66452.6 of, and to add Sections 65852.21 and 66411.7 to, the Government Code, relating to land use.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 9, as introduced, Atkins. Housing development: approvals.

The Planning and Zoning Law provides for the creation of accessory dwelling units by local ordinance, or, if a local agency has not adopted an ordinance, by ministerial approval, in accordance with specified standards and conditions.

This bill, among other things, would require a proposed housing development containing 2 residential units within a single-family residential zone to be considered ministerially, without discretionary review or hearing, if the proposed housing development meets certain requirements, including, but not limited to, that the proposed housing development would not require demolition or alteration of housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income, that the proposed housing development does not allow for the demolition of more than 25% of the existing exterior structural walls, except as provided, and that the development is not located within a historic district, is not included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, or is not within a site that is legally designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district.

The bill would set forth what a local agency can and cannot require in approving the construction of 2 residential units, including, but not SB9 -2-

limited to, authorizing a city or county to impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design standards, as defined, unless those standards would have the effect of physically precluding the construction of up to 2 units, prohibiting the imposition of setback requirements under certain circumstances, and setting maximum setback requirements under all other circumstances.

The Subdivision Map Act vests the authority to regulate and control the design and improvement of subdivisions in the legislative body of a local agency and sets forth procedures governing the local agency's processing, approval, conditional approval or disapproval, and filing of tentative, final, and parcel maps, and the modification of those maps. Under the Subdivision Map Act, an approved or conditionally approved tentative map expires 24 months after its approval or conditional approval or after any additional period of time as prescribed by local ordinance, not to exceed an additional 12 months, except as provided.

This bill, among other things, would require a city or county to ministerially approve a parcel map or tentative and final map for an urban lot split that meets certain requirements, including, but not limited to, that the urban lot split would not require the demolition or alteration of housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income, that the parcel is located within a residential zone, and that the parcel is not located within a historic district, is not included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, or is not within a site that is legally designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district.

The bill would set forth what a local agency can and cannot require in approving an urban lot split, including, but not limited to, authorizing a city or county to impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design standards, as defined, unless those standards would have the effect of physically precluding the construction of 2 units on either of the resulting parcels, prohibiting the imposition of setback requirements under certain circumstances, and setting maximum setback requirements under all other circumstances.

The bill would also extend the limit on the additional period that may be provided by ordinance, as described above, from 12 months to 24 months and would make other conforming or nonsubstantive changes.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it

3 SB 9

proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA does not apply to the approval of ministerial projects.

This bill, by establishing the ministerial review processes described above, would thereby exempt the approval of projects subject to those processes from CEQA.

The California Coastal Act of 1976 provides for the planning and regulation of development, under a coastal development permit process, within the coastal zone, as defined, that shall be based on various coastal resources planning and management policies set forth in the act.

This bill would exempt a local government from being required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for housing developments and urban lot splits pursuant to the above provisions.

By increasing the duties of local agencies with respect to land use regulations, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The bill would include findings that changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern rather than a municipal affair and, therefore, apply to all cities, including charter cities.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 65852.21 is added to the Government Code, to read:
- 3 65852.21. (a) A proposed housing development containing
- 4 two residential units within a single-family residential zone shall
- 5 be considered ministerially, without discretionary review or a
- 6 hearing, if the proposed housing development meets all of the
- 7 following requirements:
- 8 (1) The parcel subject to the proposed housing development is
- 9 located within a city the boundaries of which include some portion
- 10 of either an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the
- 11 United States Census Bureau, or, for unincorporated areas, a legal

SB9 -4-

parcel wholly within the boundaries of an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau.

- (2) The parcel satisfies the requirements specified in subparagraphs (B) to (K), inclusive, of paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 65913.4.
- (3) Notwithstanding any provision of this section or any local law, the proposed housing development would not require demolition or alteration of any of the following types of housing:
- (A) Housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income.
- (B) Housing that is subject to any form of rent or price control through a public entity's valid exercise of its police power.
- (C) Housing that has been occupied by a tenant in the last three years.
- (4) The parcel subject to the proposed housing development is not a parcel on which an owner of residential real property has exercised the owner's rights under Chapter 12.75 (commencing with Section 7060) of Division 7 of Title 1 to withdraw accommodations from rent or lease within 15 years before the date that the development proponent submits an application.
- (5) The proposed housing development does not allow the demolition of more than 25 percent of the existing exterior structural walls, unless the housing development meets at least one of the following conditions:
 - (A) If a local ordinance so allows.
- (B) The site has not been occupied by a tenant in the last three years.
- (6) The development is not located within a historic district or property included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, as defined in Section 5020.1 of the Public Resources Code, or within a site that is designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district pursuant to a city or county ordinance.
- (b) (1) Notwithstanding any local law and except as provided in paragraph (2), a city or county may impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design review standards that do not conflict with this section.
- (2) (A) The city or county shall not impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design

5 SB 9

standards that would have the effect of physically precluding the construction of up to two units.

- (B) (i) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), no setback shall be required for an existing structure or a structure constructed in the same location and to the same dimensions as an existing structure.
- (ii) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), in all other circumstances not described in clause (i), a local government may require a setback of up to four feet from the side and rear lot lines.
- (c) In addition to any conditions established in accordance with subdivision (b), a local agency may require any of the following conditions when considering an application for two residential units as provided for in this section:
- (1) Off-street parking of up to one space per unit, except that a local agency shall not impose parking requirements in either of the following instances:
- (A) The parcel is located within one-half mile walking distance of either a high-quality transit corridor, as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 21155 of the Public Resources Code, or a major transit stop, as defined in Section 21064.3 of the Public Resources Code.
- (B) There is a car share vehicle located within one block of the parcel.
- (2) For residential units connected to an onsite wastewater treatment system, a percolation test completed within the last five years, or, if the percolation test has been recertified, within the last 10 years.
- (d) A local agency shall require that a rental of any unit created pursuant to this section be for a term longer than 30 days.
- (e) Notwithstanding Section 65852.2, a local agency shall not be required to permit an accessory dwelling unit on parcels that use both the authority contained within this section and the authority contained in Section 66411.7.
- (f) Notwithstanding subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), an application shall not be rejected solely because it proposes adjacent or connected structures provided that the structures meet building code safety standards and are sufficient to allow separate conveyance.
- (g) Local agencies shall include units constructed pursuant to this section in the annual housing element report as required by

SB9 -6-

subparagraph (I) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 65400.

- (h) For purposes of this section, all of the following apply:
- (1) A housing development contains two residential units if the development proposes two new units or if it proposes to add one new unit to an existing unit.
- (2) The terms "objective zoning standards," "objective subdivision standards," and "objective design review standards" mean standards that involve no personal or subjective judgment by a public official and are uniformly verifiable by reference to an external and uniform benchmark or criterion available and knowable by both the development applicant or proponent and the public official prior to submittal. These standards may be embodied in alternative objective land use specifications adopted by a city or county, and may include, but are not limited to, housing overlay zones, specific plans, inclusionary zoning ordinances, and density bonus ordinances.
- (i) A local agency may adopt an ordinance to implement the provisions of this section. An ordinance adopted to implement this section shall not be considered a project under Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code.
- (j) Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede or in any way alter or lessen the effect or application of the California Coastal Act of 1976 (Division 20 (commencing with Section 30000) of the Public Resources Code), except that the local government shall not be required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for a housing development pursuant to this section.
- SEC. 2. Section 66411.7 is added to the Government Code, to read:
- 66411.7. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this division and any local law, a city or county shall ministerially approve, as set forth in this section, a parcel map or tentative and final map for an urban lot split that meets all the following requirements:
- (1) The parcel map or tentative and final map subdivides an existing parcel to create two new parcels of equal size.
- 38 (2) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), both newly created parcels are no smaller than 1,200 square feet.

7 SB 9

(B) A local agency may by ordinance adopt a smaller minimum lot size subject to ministerial approval under this subdivision.

- (3) The parcel being subdivided meets all the following requirements:
 - (A) The parcel is located within a residential zone.

- (B) The parcel subject to the proposed urban lot split is located within a city the boundaries of which include some portion of either an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau, or, for unincorporated areas, a legal parcel wholly within the boundaries of an urbanized area or urban cluster, as designated by the United States Census Bureau.
- (C) The parcel satisfies the requirements specified in subparagraphs (B) to (K), inclusive, of paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 65913.4.
- (D) The proposed urban lot split would not require demolition or alteration of any of the following types of housing:
- (i) Housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income.
- (ii) Housing that is subject to any form of rent or price control through a public entity's valid exercise of its police power.
- (iii) A parcel or parcels on which an owner of residential real property has exercised the owner's rights under Chapter 12.75 (commencing with Section 7060) of Division 7 of Title 1 to withdraw accommodations from rent or lease within 15 years before the date that the development proponent submits an application.
- (iv) Housing that has been occupied by a tenant in the last three years.
- (E) The parcel is not located within a historic district or property included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, as defined in Section 5020.1 of the Public Resources Code, or within a site that is designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district pursuant to a city or county ordinance.
- (F) The parcel has not been established through prior exercise of an urban lot split as provided for in this section.
- (G) Neither the owner of the parcel being subdivided nor any person acting in concert with the owner has previously subdivided an adjacent parcel using an urban lot split as provided for in this section.

SB9 -8-

1 2

(b) An application for an urban lot split shall be approved in accordance with the following requirements:

- (1) A local agency shall approve or deny an application for an urban lot split ministerially without discretionary review.
- (2) A local agency shall approve an urban lot split only if it conforms to all applicable objective requirements of the Subdivision Map Act (Division 2 (commencing with Section 66410)), except as otherwise expressly provided in this section.
- (3) Notwithstanding Section 66411.1, a local agency shall not impose regulations that require dedications of rights-of-way or the construction of offsite improvements for the parcels being created as a condition of issuing a parcel map or tentative and final map for an urban lot split.
- (c) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), notwithstanding any local law, a city or county may impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design review standards applicable to a parcel created by an urban lot split that do not conflict with this section.
- (2) A local agency shall not impose objective zoning standards, objective subdivision standards, and objective design review standards that would have the effect of physically precluding the construction of two units on either of the resulting parcels.
- (3) (A) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), no setback shall be required for an existing structure or a structure constructed in the same location and to the same dimensions as an existing structure.
- (B) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), in all other circumstances not described in subparagraph (A), a local government may require a setback of up to four feet from the side and rear lot lines.
- (d) In addition to any conditions established in accordance with subdivision (c), a local agency may require any of the following conditions when considering an application for an urban lot split:
- (1) Easements required for the provision of public services and facilities.
- (2) A requirement that the parcels have access to, provide access to, or adjoin the public right-of-way.
- (3) Off-street parking of up to one space per unit, except that a local agency shall not impose parking requirements in either of the following instances:
- (A) The parcel is located within one-half mile walking distance of either a high-quality transit corridor as defined in subdivision

-9- SB 9

(b) of Section 21155 of the Public Resources Code, or a major transit stop as defined in Section 21064.3 of the Public Resources Code.

- (B) There is a car share vehicle located within one block of the parcel.
- (e) A local agency shall require that the uses allowed on a lot created by this section be limited to residential uses.
- (f) A local agency shall require that a rental of any unit created pursuant to this section be for a term longer than 30 days.
- (g) A local agency shall not require, as a condition for ministerial approval of a permit application for the creation of an urban lot split, the correction of nonconforming zoning conditions.
- (h) Notwithstanding Section 65852.2, a local agency shall not be required to permit an accessory dwelling unit on parcels that use both the authority contained within this section and the authority contained in Section 65852.21.
- (i) Notwithstanding paragraph (3) of subdivision (c), an application shall not be rejected solely because it proposes adjacent or connected structures provided that the structures meet building code safety standards and are sufficient to allow separate conveyance.
- (j) Local agencies shall include the number of applications for urban lot splits pursuant to this section in the annual housing element report as required by subparagraph (I) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 65400.
- (k) For purposes of this section, the terms "objective zoning standards," "objective subdivision standards," and "objective design review standards" mean standards that involve no personal or subjective judgment by a public official and are uniformly verifiable by reference to an external and uniform benchmark or criterion available and knowable by both the development applicant or proponent and the public official prior to submittal. These standards may be embodied in alternative objective land use specifications adopted by a city or county, and may include, but are not limited to, housing overlay zones, specific plans, inclusionary zoning ordinances, and density bonus ordinances.
- (1) A local agency may adopt an ordinance to implement the provisions of this section. An ordinance adopted to implement this section shall not be considered a project under Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code.

SB 9 -10-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

3738

39

40

(m) Nothing in this section shall be construed to supersede or in any way alter or lessen the effect or application of the California Coastal Act of 1976 (Division 20 (commencing with Section 30000) of the Public Resources Code), except that the local government shall not be required to hold public hearings for coastal development permit applications for urban lot splits pursuant to this section.

SEC. 3. Section 66452.6 of the Government Code is amended to read:

66452.6. (a) (1) An approved or conditionally approved tentative map shall expire 24 months after its approval or conditional approval, or after any additional period of time as may be prescribed by local ordinance, not to exceed an additional 12 24 months. However, if the subdivider is required to expend two hundred thirty-six thousand seven hundred ninety dollars (\$236,790) or more to construct, improve, or finance the construction or improvement of public improvements outside the property boundaries of the tentative map, excluding improvements of public rights-of-way-which that abut the boundary of the property to be subdivided and which that are reasonably related to the development of that property, each filing of a final map authorized by Section 66456.1 shall extend the expiration of the approved or conditionally approved tentative map by 36 48 months from the date of its expiration, as provided in this section, or the date of the previously filed final map, whichever is later. The extensions shall not extend the tentative map more than 10 years from its approval or conditional approval. However, a tentative map on property subject to a development agreement authorized by Article 2.5 (commencing with Section 65864) of Chapter 4 of Division 1 may be extended for the period of time provided for in the agreement, but not beyond the duration of the agreement. The number of phased final maps that may be filed shall be determined by the advisory agency at the time of the approval or conditional approval of the tentative map.

(2) Commencing January 1, 2012, and each calendar year thereafter, the amount of two hundred thirty-six thousand seven hundred ninety dollars (\$236,790) shall be annually increased by operation of law according to the adjustment for inflation set forth in the statewide cost index for class B construction, as determined by the State Allocation Board at its January meeting. The effective

-11 SB 9

date of each annual adjustment shall be March 1. The adjusted amount shall apply to tentative and vesting tentative maps whose applications were received after the effective date of the adjustment.

- (3) "Public improvements," as used in this subdivision, include traffic controls, streets, roads, highways, freeways, bridges, overcrossings, street interchanges, flood control or storm drain facilities, sewer facilities, water facilities, and lighting facilities.
- (b) (1) The period of time specified in subdivision (a), including any extension thereof granted pursuant to subdivision (e), shall not include any period of time during which a development moratorium, imposed after approval of the tentative map, is in existence. However, the length of the moratorium shall not exceed five years.
- (2) The length of time specified in paragraph (1) shall be extended for up to three years, but in no event beyond January 1, 1992, during the pendency of any lawsuit in which the subdivider asserts, and the local agency—which that approved or conditionally approved the tentative map denies, the existence or application of a development moratorium to the tentative map.
- (3) Once a development moratorium is terminated, the map shall be valid for the same period of time as was left to run on the map at the time that the moratorium was imposed. However, if the remaining time is less than 120 days, the map shall be valid for 120 days following the termination of the moratorium.
- (c) The period of time specified in subdivision (a), including any extension thereof granted pursuant to subdivision (e), shall not include the period of time during which a lawsuit involving the approval or conditional approval of the tentative map is or was pending in a court of competent jurisdiction, if the stay of the time period is approved by the local agency pursuant to this section. After service of the initial petition or complaint in the lawsuit upon the local agency, the subdivider may apply to the local agency for a stay pursuant to the local agency's adopted procedures. Within 40 days after receiving the application, the local agency shall either stay the time period for up to five years or deny the requested stay. The local agency may, by ordinance, establish procedures for reviewing the requests, including, but not limited to, notice and hearing requirements, appeal procedures, and other administrative requirements.

 $SB 9 \qquad -12 -$

(d) The expiration of the approved or conditionally approved tentative map shall terminate all proceedings and no final map or parcel map of all or any portion of the real property included within the tentative map shall be filed with the legislative body without first processing a new tentative map. Once a timely filing is made, subsequent actions of the local agency, including, but not limited to, processing, approving, and recording, may lawfully occur after the date of expiration of the tentative map. Delivery to the county surveyor or city engineer shall be deemed a timely filing for purposes of this section.

- (e) Upon application of the subdivider filed prior to before the expiration of the approved or conditionally approved tentative map, the time at which the map expires pursuant to subdivision (a) may be extended by the legislative body or by an advisory agency authorized to approve or conditionally approve tentative maps for a period or periods not exceeding a total of six years. The period of extension specified in this subdivision shall be in addition to the period of time provided by subdivision (a). Prior to Before the expiration of an approved or conditionally approved tentative map, upon an application by the subdivider to extend that map, the map shall automatically be extended for 60 days or until the application for the extension is approved, conditionally approved, or denied, whichever occurs first. If the advisory agency denies a subdivider's application for an extension, the subdivider may appeal to the legislative body within 15 days after the advisory agency has denied the extension.
- (f) For purposes of this section, a development moratorium includes a water or sewer moratorium, or a water and sewer moratorium, as well as other actions of public agencies which that regulate land use, development, or the provision of services to the land, including the public agency with the authority to approve or conditionally approve the tentative map, which thereafter prevents, prohibits, or delays the approval of a final or parcel map. A development moratorium shall also be deemed to exist for purposes of this section for any period of time during which a condition imposed by the city or county could not be satisfied because of either of the following:
- (1) The condition was one that, by its nature, necessitated action by the city or county, and the city or county either did not take the necessary action or by its own action or inaction was prevented or

__13___ SB 9

delayed in taking the necessary action prior to before expiration of the tentative map.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- (2) The condition necessitates acquisition of real property or any interest in real property from a public agency, other than the city or county that approved or conditionally approved the tentative map, and that other public agency fails or refuses to convey the property interest necessary to satisfy the condition. However, nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to require any public agency to convey any interest in real property owned by it. A development moratorium specified in this paragraph shall be deemed to have been imposed either on the date of approval or conditional approval of the tentative map, if evidence was included in the public record that the public agency-which that owns or controls the real property or any interest therein may refuse to convey that property or interest, or on the date that the public agency which that owns or controls the real property or any interest therein receives an offer by the subdivider to purchase that property or interest for fair market value, whichever is later. A development moratorium specified in this paragraph shall extend the tentative map up to the maximum period as set forth in subdivision (b), but not later than January 1, 1992, so long as the public agency which that owns or controls the real property or any interest therein fails or refuses to convey the necessary property interest, regardless of the reason for the failure or refusal, except that the development moratorium shall be deemed to terminate 60 days after the public agency has officially made, and communicated to the subdivider, a written offer or commitment binding on the agency to convey the necessary property interest for a fair market value, paid in a reasonable time and manner.
- SEC. 4. The Legislature finds and declares that ensuring access to affordable housing is a matter of statewide concern and not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution. Therefore, Sections 1 and 2 of this act adding Sections 65852.21 and 66411.7 to the Government Code and Section 3 of this act amending Section 66452.6 of the Government Code apply to all cities, including charter cities.
- SEC. 5. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or

SB 9

- level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.